## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Nellie C. Bradley,	
Plaintiff,	
vs. )	Civil Action No. 4:09-CV-2113-TLW-TER
Florence City Police Department, c/o Chief ) Anson Shell; Light House Care Ministries ) Conway SC, owners, )	
Defendants. )	

## **ORDER**

The plaintiff, Nellie C. Bradley ("plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil action on August 10, 2009. (Doc. #1).

This matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned. (Doc. #12). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the District Court dismiss this case without prejudice. (Doc. #12). The plaintiff filed objections to the report, (Doc. #15), as well as additional objections. (Doc. #17). In addition, the plaintiff has filed numerous letters with this Court. In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections...The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny

entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F. Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992) (citations omitted).

In light of the standard set forth in <u>Wallace</u>, the Court has reviewed, <u>de novo</u>, the Report, the objections, and the letters filed by the plaintiff. After careful review of the Report and objections thereto, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Report. (Doc. #12). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, this action is, hereby, **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

October 2, 2009 Florence, South Carolina